Investigating the Mediating Role of Mind-Wandering between Achievement Motivation and Perceived Academic Stress in Nursing Students
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Abstract
Background: Competition and stress drive youngsters positively for developing, but intensifying or continuing the stress can affect people's mental health.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the mediating role of mind-wandering between achievement motivation and perceived academic stress in nursing students.

Methods: The study was conducted by descriptive correlation and structural equation modeling. The statistical population was all bachelor's nursing students of Islamic Azad University, Sari Branch Faculty of Medicine during the first semester of 2018-2019. Two hundred forty students were selected as a sample by the purposeful sampling method. Data were collected using achievement motivation questionnaire (1970), perceived academic stress (2005), and mind-wandering questionnaire (2013). Data were analyzed using SPSS.22 and Amos.22 software by employing Pearson correlation coefficient, fitness indices, maximum likelihood estimation, and bootstrap.

Results: The results indicated that mind-wandering played a mediating role in achievement motivation and perceived academic stress among nursing students (P<0.05).

Conclusion: It can be concluded that mind-wandering has a mediating role between achievement motivation and perceived academic stress in nursing students.
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Introduction
Competition and its resulting stress is generally a positive stimulus for youngsters’ development. However, it can affect people's mental health if this stress is intensifying or continues (1). Considering educational activities in this regard are greatly important in most cultures (2). The findings of academic stress have shown that 67% of students bear educational pressures as the most significant stress in their lives (3). It is worth noting that academic achievement is widely related to such concepts as motivation and its high value in educational fields alongside intelligence (4).

There is a group of people who owns a positive motivation and struggle to achieve success (5), another one has a negative motivation, so they try to avoid failure. The expectation of failure or success ultimately leads to success or avoidance of failure (6). Students’ behaviors also present that motivation greatly affects all their activities (7). Planchard et al. (8) found a gap between the real motivation for progress and what they reported by considering the importance of motivation in students' activities. Students are motivated to perform better in their assignments when they are rewarded (9). There is a positive relationship between the task which resulted in motivation and academic achievement (10). Also, the benefits of mental focus and complete attention to important subjects, including job and educational duties, cannot be ignored (11). Kane and Engel (12) believed that the concept of mind-wandering has been adopted from executive control theories. It includes individuals' ability in controlling and regulating their cognitive or attentional resources to achieve their goals and complete their assignments, especially in the face of interference or distraction (13). Researches in this regard have proven that uninterrupted mind-wandering devastatingly affects main activities (including sustained attention, working memory, intelligence measurement, and reading performance) (14).

Also, one of the factors affecting mental governance is mindfulness which has been considered in recent studies (15). Mindfulness-based interventions reduce mind-wandering according to the assumptions of change theory (16): firstly, mindfulness directly strengthens the capacity to stay focused. Secondly, mindfulness reduces negative emotional states (17). Since negative affect is an excellent source of distraction considering the recent points, its regulations are promoting mental focus and helping to reduce mind-wandering (18). A useful classroom includes all essential characteristics which affect the students' development and position as well as their stress and performance. Autonomous, task-oriented, mastery, and participatory classes increase students' adaptability to treat more effectively with academic life barriers and improve self-regulation beliefs (19).

Additionally, one of the fruitful and successful factors in learners' academic life is the relationship
between motivation, adaptation, and mental focus in perceived academic stress. Familiarizing with the factors affecting this relationship is essential for education practitioners and their families to promote it. No study, to the best of our knowledge, has been represented as a model for perceived academic stress.

![Figure 1. A proposed model on the mediating role of mind-wandering between achievement motivation and perceived academic stress](image)

**Objectives**

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the mediating role of mind-wandering between achievement motivation and perceived academic stress in nursing students to fill the gap between the studies.

**Methods**

The study was conducted by descriptive correlation and structural equation modeling. The statistical population was all bachelor's nursing students of Islamic Azad University, Sari Branch Faculty of Medicine during the first semester of 2018-2019. In total, 240 subjects were selected by convenience sampling method after obtaining legal permissions in 2019. They gathered in the university during the morning. At first, preliminary explanations about the research purpose and the needed cooperation, as well as the required time (half an hour) and necessary tools, were provided to the subjects, and then the questionnaires were given to them in the sample group after obtaining informed consent.

The minimum sample size is determined based on hidden variables in a structural model. At least 200 samples are generally recommended (20). In total, 240 people were selected as the sample size of this study by the purposeful method considering the number of observed variables and allocation coefficient of 25 (9 variables observed in the model) (7 variables), and the probability of incomplete questionnaires. Similar studies suggest CDF formula for structural equation modeling as follows:

$$F(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \text{erf}\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{2}}\right)\right],$$

where $\mu$ is the mean, $\sigma$ is the standard deviation, and the erf is the error function.

Inclusion criteria were being a nursing student, bachelor, female, resident of Sari, single, sophomore or senior, willingness to cooperate, healthy both physically and psychologically. Exclusion criteria were incomplete questionnaires and unwillingness to cooperate in the study. This paper has been approved by the Specialized Research Council of Azad University, Sari branch, and the Committee on Biomedical Ethics with the code of ethics (IR.IAU.SARI.REC.1398.147) on 17/12/2019. All subjects received information about the research orally and participated if they wished to consider the ethical considerations of the research. All information was confidential and would be only used for research purposes, so the participants' full names were not registered.
A questionnaire measure of achievement motivation by Hermans (1970): A questionnaire measure of achievement motivation by Hermans (1970) was used to choices and the scores range from 29 to 116. For measuring the internal consistency, the Cronbach's alpha was applied for this questionnaire and measured 0.89. Biabangard in his study conducted in Iran (21) confirmed the validity of the structure and the questionnaire simultaneously and estimated the reliability by using two methods of Cronbach's alpha and re-examination after three weeks which were 0.82 and 0.85, respectively.

Perceived Academic Stress Questionnaire (2005): Zajakova et al. developed a new version of the Perceived Academic Stress Questionnaire in 2005. Likert's eleven-degree items on the scale are ranging from "not stressful at all" (0) to "quite stressful" (10), and higher scores indicate higher levels of academic stress. The creators confirmed construct validity, and reliability was 0.84 obtained by Cronbach's Alpha method for the difficulty of academic performance in class. The difficulty of academic performance outside the classroom, interaction at the university, job management, family, and university and total were 0.86, 0.89, 0.83, 0.90, respectively. Shokri, Nouri, Farahani, and Moradi (22) stated that Cronbach's alpha coefficients were the general factors of perceived academic stress. They estimated that the difficulty of academic performance in the classroom, outside the classroom, interaction at the university, job management, family, and university were 0.95, 0.85, 0.83, 0.82, 0.74, respectively.

Mind-Wandering Questionnaire of Carriere & Seli (2013): This questionnaire was developed and validated by Carriere & Seli in 2013. This scale consists of two subscales of intentional mind-wandering (4 articles) and spontaneous mind-wandering (4 articles). This subscale is scored by using a seven-point range which higher scores indicate more mind-wandering in an individual. Carrière and Sally (23) confirmed the reliability and validity of this scale. In the present study, this subscale's reliability was investigated by using Cronbach's alpha coefficient and its validity was examined by confirmatory factor analysis. Cronbach's alpha coefficient for spontaneous mind-wandering was 0.80, and its factor in confirmatory factor analysis was found to be sufficient (above 0.30). Construct and concurrent validity of the questionnaire were confirmed, and reliability for two dimensions was 0.81 and 0.78, and the total was 0.84 (24).

Primarily, students were informed about the purpose of the study and assured that their information would be kept confidential in the executive process. Simultaneously, students were asked to consent before participating in the research, and then the questionnaires were presented to them. Pearson correlation test, fitness indices, maximum likelihood, and bootstrap were used for data analysis using SPSS.22 and Amos.22 software.

**Results**

In this study, the mean (SD) age of participants was 28.4 (6.3). The analysis process was confirmed firstly by examining statistical assumptions using Skewness and Kurtosis as well as Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. The required information is presented primarily in Table 1 according to the two indicators of dispersion and the index of a tendency to the center including the average and standard deviation, as well as the minimum and maximum variables of achievement motivation, information processing styles, mind-wandering, and perceived academic stress.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivation for progress</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>79.85</td>
<td>14.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in academic performance in the classroom</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24.76</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in academic performance outside the classroom</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>19.19</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in interacting at the university</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>6.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in managing work, family, and university</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>28.67</td>
<td>5.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived academic stress</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>142.03</td>
<td>19.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationalism</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24.19</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empiricism</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliberate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mind-wandering</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27.47</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be generally concluded that the data distribution is normal and the inferential analysis of the data by considering the reviews and corrections can be made based on the normality of the data with Kolmogorov-Smirnov and the Mahalanobis distance.
Table 2. Correlation Matrix of Achievement Motivation and related variables, Information Processing Styles and Mind-Wandering with Perceived Academic Stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivation for progress</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in academic performance in classroom</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in academic performance outside the classroom</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in interacting at the university</td>
<td>-.25</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>-.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in managing work, family and university</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>-.24</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived academic stress</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationalism</td>
<td>-.23</td>
<td>-.29</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empiricism</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>-.20</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliberate</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>-.28</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-.27</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mind-Wandering</td>
<td>-.25</td>
<td>-.31</td>
<td>-.24</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial model was analyzed in the research by predicting perceived academic stress directly and indirectly through achievement motivation, information processing styles, and mind-wandering to investigate the presented conceptual model.

Table 3. Goodness-of-fit indices path analysis modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goodness of Fit Indices</th>
<th>χ²/df</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
<th>AGFI</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>CFI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Path</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows that the goodness of fit indices supports the optimal fit of the pattern with the collected data. In Table 4, the values obtained from weighted regression for determining the effect values (B) according to the significance level obtained from the critical ratio which indicates that the subscales significantly affect the overall and exogenous variables (achievement motivation, information processing styles, and mind-wandering) and the final endogenous variable (perceived academic stress).

Table 4. The Statistics of Weighted Regression and Critical Ratios of Research Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exogenous variable</th>
<th>Endogenous variable</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achievement motivation</td>
<td>perceived academic stress</td>
<td>-.52</td>
<td>-.41</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mind-Wandering</td>
<td>perceived academic stress</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-.31</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 4, standardized and unstandardized values of predictive pathways show the relation of exogenous
variables and endogenous variables according to the obtained T value in the model. Generally, all obtained values are significant which significantly indicates predictions. According to Table 5, indirect paths considered based on the standardized values (β), obtained indirect path also indicates that the achievement motivation on perceived academic stress through mediation have an indirect effect on mind-wandering in students. The bootstrap estimation method was confirmed.

**Table 5.** Indirect estimation of the model using the bootstrap method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achievement motivation on perceived academic stress through mediating the role of Mind-Wandering</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2. Achievement motivation on perceived academic stress through the mediation of the role of mind-wandering (standard model)](image)

**Discussion**

This study aimed to investigate the mediating role of mind-wandering between achievement motivation and perceived academic stress in nursing students. The fifth hypothesis of progress motivation on perceived academic stress through mediation has an indirect effect on students’ mind-wandering according to the results. The finding results of these variables were in line with the findings of Greene, Finn, and Nelson (25), Bank and Boal (26), Ralph, Vames, Barr & Smilk (27). The result of the present study was in contrast with the Wheeler’s (28).

The motivation for progress was firstly introduced scientifically under the title of need. The motivation for progress is a social need to overcome obstacles, achieve excellent standards, compete with others and surpass them. Those who greatly develop tend to put themselves in a position to experience more success, mobility, and satisfaction on the academic path and act in a way (29) to avoid mind-wandering. Logically the person who wanders becomes anxious and stressed due to the ambiguity of the situation (30). People are motivated by the need for little progress to experience success, but those with little progress are motivated by fear of failure and become mentally confused. This tendency is the opposite of the behavior which peoples show for high progress. They also tend to avoid relatively complex assignments due to their existing stress and are more likely to participate in easier or very difficult assignments (31). Low homework achievers consider relatively stressful rather than problematic unlike those in need of better progress. This perception of threat supports the success that can be achieved in easy assignments.

Uninvited thoughts are disturbing, intrusive, and repetitive which can cause serious problems in some people. Uninvited thoughts include rumination, obsessive-compulsive thinking, and anxiety play an essential role in developing and treating mood disorders, stress, and insomnia. Their common feature is being
disturbing and repetitive (32). Mind-wandering is another form of uninvited thought that is being explored in the present research. Mind-Wandering was known as automated thinking in the past which is a fundamental factor of stress (33). Mind-Wandering defines as the rupture or disintegration of concentration on a task by irrelevant thoughts that directly conflict with the motivation of mental progression to avoid distraction. Mind-Wandering is reminiscent of stressful thoughts commonly seen in stress disorders. Mind-Wandering reflects unwanted (unintentional) thoughts (34). Intentional mind-wandering reflects the enthusiastic conflict of inner thinking. People who develop deliberate mental perplexity will voluntarily and deliberately recall thoughts unrelated to the primary task. In contrast, unintentional mind-wandering reflects the unintended conflict of inner thinking (35).

The geographical location of the research, the city of Sari, limited the results' pervasiveness. Researching by non-random sampling method increases the probability that the statistical population of our research is not a comprehensive one. The questionnaire tool is not an accurate one which is limiting the research. It is suggested to consider the effects of information processing styles and mind-wandering on perceived academic stress in the applied field. It is worth mentioning that the educational system with more focus on strengthening the cognitive domain and teaching the effective use of cognitive patterns in higher education, pave the way for developing students and increasing their ability in the face of possible academic stresses. Promoting the academic motivation of officials and principals is also so essential. The school environment should be happy and friendly, so it decreases the students' academic stress. Students should be able to ask their teachers or peers about the issues they fail to understand.

**Conclusion**

It can be concluded that mind-wandering has a mediating role between achievement motivation and perceived academic stress in nursing students.

---
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